

Return your written solutions either in person or by email  
 to ves.a.kaarnioja@fu-berlin.de by Tuesday 24 January, 2023, 12:15

Continuing from last week's exercises, we consider an elliptic PDE problem. Let  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , be a bounded Lipschitz domain and let  $f \in L^2(D)$  be fixed. For all  $\mathbf{y} \in [-1/2, 1/2]^{\mathbb{N}}$ , let  $u(\cdot, \mathbf{y}) \in H_0^1(D)$  be such that

$$\int_D a(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \nabla u(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \cdot \nabla v(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} = \int_D f(\mathbf{x}) v(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} \quad \text{for all } v \in H_0^1(D),$$

with the diffusion coefficient

$$a(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = a_0(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} y_j \psi_j(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in D, \quad \mathbf{y} = (y_j)_{j \geq 1} \in [-1/2, 1/2]^{\mathbb{N}},$$

where we assume the following:

(A1) There exist constants  $a_{\min}, a_{\max} > 0$  such that  $0 < a_{\min} \leq a(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \leq a_{\max} < \infty$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in D$  and  $\mathbf{y} \in [-1/2, 1/2]^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

(A2)  $a_0 \in L^\infty(D)$  and  $\psi_j \in L^\infty(D)$  for all  $j \geq 1$  such that  $\sum_{j \geq 1} \|\psi_j\|_{L^\infty(D)} < \infty$ .

(A3) For some  $p \in (0, 1)$ , there holds  $\sum_{j \geq 1} \|\psi_j\|_{L^\infty(D)}^p < \infty$ .

Moreover, we define the dimensionally-truncated solution by setting  $u_s(\cdot, (y_1, \dots, y_s)) := u(\cdot, (y_1, \dots, y_s, 0, 0, \dots))$  for  $y_j \in [-1/2, 1/2]$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq s$ .

**Added 21.1.:** Tasks 1–2 make use of the regularity bound derived for  $\partial^\nu u(\cdot, \mathbf{y})^2$  last week. Unfortunately, this result is *incorrect*. You may complete tasks 1–2 this week by assuming that the stated regularity bound holds (formally) for  $\partial^\nu u(\cdot, \mathbf{y})^2$  OR you can solve tasks 1–2 using instead the function

$$v(\mathbf{y}) := \int_D u(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})^2 \, d\mathbf{x}, \quad \mathbf{y} \in [-1/2, 1/2]^{\mathbb{N}}, \quad (1)$$

which *does* satisfy the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial^\nu v(\mathbf{y})| &\leq C(|\nu| + 1)! \mathbf{b}^\nu \quad \text{for all } \nu \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } \mathbf{y} \in [-1/2, 1/2]^{\mathbb{N}}, \\ \|v(\cdot - \frac{1}{2})\|_{s, \gamma}^2 &\leq C' \sum_{\mathbf{u} \subseteq \{1, \dots, s\}} \frac{[(|\mathbf{u}| + 1)!]^2}{\gamma_{\mathbf{u}}} \prod_{j \in \mathbf{u}} b_j^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

for certain generic constants  $C, C' > 0$ . For further details, see <https://vesak90.userpage.fu-berlin.de/erratum.PNG>.

I apologize for the inconvenience.  
 Your tasks are outlined below.

1. Recall from the lectures that, for given dimension  $s \geq 1$ , number of QMC nodes  $n \geq 2$ , and sequence of positive weights  $\boldsymbol{\gamma} = (\gamma_{\mathbf{u}})_{\mathbf{u} \subseteq \{1, \dots, s\}}$ , the generating vector  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{U}_n^s$  obtained using the CBC algorithm satisfies the error bound

$$\sqrt{\mathbb{E}_{\Delta} |I_s F - Q_{n,s}^{\Delta} F|^2} \leq \left( \frac{1}{\varphi(n)} \sum_{\emptyset \neq \mathbf{u} \subseteq \{1, \dots, s\}} \gamma_{\mathbf{u}}^{\lambda} \left( \frac{2\zeta(2\lambda)}{(2\pi^2)^{\lambda}} \right)^{|\mathbf{u}|} \right)^{1/(2\lambda)} \|F\|_{s,\gamma} \quad (3)$$

for all  $\lambda \in (1/2, 1]$ , where  $F \in H_{s,\gamma}$ ,  $\zeta(x) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-x}$  is the Riemann zeta function for  $x > 1$ ,  $\mathbb{U}_n := \{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid 1 \leq k \leq n-1, \gcd(k, n) = 1\}$ , and  $\varphi(n) := |\mathbb{U}_n|$  is the Euler totient function.

Let  $G: H_0^1(D) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a bounded linear functional and consider the function  $f(\mathbf{y}) := G(u_s(\cdot, \mathbf{y} - \frac{1}{2}))^2$  for  $\mathbf{y} \in [0, 1]^s$  (**added 21.1.:** *alternatively, you may consider  $f(\mathbf{y}) := v(y_1 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, y_s - \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, \dots)$  for  $\mathbf{y} \in [0, 1]^s$ , where the function  $v$  was defined in (1).*). By plugging in the upper bound derived for  $\|f\|_{s,\gamma}$  in task 2 of last week's exercises (**added 21.1.:** *alternatively, use the bound (2) for  $v$* ), show that the bound in (3) can be minimized by choosing

$$\gamma_{\mathbf{u}} := \left( (|\mathbf{u}| + 1)! \prod_{j \in \mathbf{u}} \frac{b_j}{\sqrt{2\zeta(2\lambda)/(2\pi^2)^{\lambda}}} \right)^{2/(1+\lambda)} \quad \text{for } \emptyset \neq \mathbf{u} \subseteq \{1, \dots, s\}, \quad (4)$$

and  $\gamma_{\emptyset} := 1$ .

2. Suppose that  $n$  is prime and we use the CBC algorithm to obtain a generating vector using the weights (4). Consider  $f(\mathbf{y}) := G(u_s(\cdot, \mathbf{y} - \frac{1}{2}))^2$  for  $\mathbf{y} \in [0, 1]^s$ . (**Added 21.1.:** *alternatively, consider  $f(\mathbf{y}) := v(y_1 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, y_s - \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, \dots)$  for  $\mathbf{y} \in [0, 1]^s$ , where the function  $v$  was defined in (1).*) Show that the resulting randomly shifted rank-1 lattice rule satisfies the root-mean-square error bound

$$\sqrt{\mathbb{E}_{\Delta} |I_s f - Q_{n,s}^{\Delta} f|^2} \leq \begin{cases} Cn^{-1/p+1/2} & \text{if } p \in (2/3, 1), \\ Cn^{-1+\delta} \text{ for arbitrary } \delta \in (0, 1/2) & \text{if } p \in (0, 2/3], \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where the constant  $C > 0$  is *independent* of the dimension  $s$ .

3. How would you choose the positive weights  $\boldsymbol{\gamma} = (\gamma_{\mathbf{u}})_{\mathbf{u} \subseteq \{1, \dots, s\}}$  to ensure a dimension-independent QMC cubature rate of the form (5) if you consider instead the function

$$f(\mathbf{y}) := \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{j=1}^s j^{-2} y_j^{\alpha}}, \quad \mathbf{y} := (y_1, \dots, y_s) \in [0, 1]^s, \quad \alpha \in (0, 1]?$$

4. For the dimension truncation proof, we actually need to consider a slightly more general variational formulation

$$\int_D a(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \nabla u(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \cdot \nabla v(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} = \langle F, v \rangle_{H^{-1}(D), H_0^1(D)} \quad \text{for all } v \in H_0^1(D),$$

where  $F \in H^{-1}(D)$  and the diffusion coefficient is defined as above and satisfies assumptions (A1)–(A3). By the Lax–Milgram lemma, there exists a unique

solution  $u(\cdot, \mathbf{y}) \in H_0^1(D)$  for all  $\mathbf{y} \in [-1/2, 1/2]^{\mathbb{N}}$  which satisfies the *a priori* bound  $\|u(\cdot, \mathbf{y})\|_{H_0^1(D)} \leq \frac{\|F\|_{H^{-1}(D)}}{a_{\min}}$  for all  $\mathbf{y} \in [-1/2, 1/2]^{\mathbb{N}}$ , where

$$\|F\|_{H^{-1}(D)} = \sup_{\substack{v \in H_0^1(D) \\ \|v\|_{H_0^1(D)} \leq 1}} |\langle F, v \rangle_{H^{-1}(D), H_0^1(D)}|.$$

- (a) Let  $a_s(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) := a(\mathbf{x}, (y_1, \dots, y_s, 0, 0, \dots))$  for  $\mathbf{x} \in D$  and  $\mathbf{y} \in [-1/2, 1/2]^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Prove the following version of the *second Strang lemma*:

$$\|u(\cdot, \mathbf{y}) - u_s(\cdot, \mathbf{y})\|_{H_0^1(D)} \leq \frac{1}{a_{\min}^2} \|a(\cdot, \mathbf{y}) - a_s(\cdot, \mathbf{y})\|_{L^\infty(D)} \|F\|_{H^{-1}(D)} \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{y} \in [-1/2, 1/2]^{\mathbb{N}}.$$

- (b) Let  $f(\mathbf{y}) := G(u(\cdot, \mathbf{y}))$ , where  $G \in H^{-1}(D)$  and  $\mathbf{y} \in [-1/2, 1/2]^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Use part (a) to deduce that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} f(y_1, \dots, y_s, 0, 0, \dots) = f(\mathbf{y}) \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{y} \in [-1/2, 1/2]^{\mathbb{N}}$$

and

$$|f(y_1, \dots, y_s, 0, 0, \dots)| \leq |g(\mathbf{y})| \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{y} \in [-1/2, 1/2]^{\mathbb{N}} \text{ and } s \in \mathbb{N}$$

for some integrable function  $g: [-1/2, 1/2]^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

*Hint:* In part (a), take the difference of the variational formulations

$$\begin{aligned} \int_D a(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \nabla u(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \cdot \nabla v(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} &= \langle F, v \rangle_{H^{-1}(D), H_0^1(D)} \quad \text{for all } v \in H_0^1(D), \\ \int_D a_s(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \nabla u_s(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \cdot \nabla v(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} &= \langle F, v \rangle_{H^{-1}(D), H_0^1(D)} \quad \text{for all } v \in H_0^1(D), \end{aligned}$$

and use the uniform ellipticity assumption (A1). Note that in part (b), we are essentially justifying the integrability of  $f: [-1/2, 1/2]^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . (See pg. 12 of the lecture notes of week 12.)