Inverse Problems Sommersemester 2023 Return your written solutions either in person or by email to vesa.kaarnioja@fu-berlin.de by Tuesday 11 July, 2023, 10:15

## Please note that there are a total of 4 tasks in this exercise sheet.

1. Assume that you have a Gaussian posterior distribution

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \sim \pi^y \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & p \\ p & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right).$$

- (a) Write a Gibbs sampler for the posterior  $\pi^y$ . Based on the generated samples, what are the conditional mean estimate of  $\pi^y$  and the marginal standard deviations of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ ?
- (b) Repeat part (a) for parameter values p = 0.5, 0.9, 0.99, and 0.999. How does the degree of correlation between  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  affect the performance of the Gibbs sampler?
- 2. Suppose we have an inverse problem

$$y = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^2 + x_2^2 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} + \eta,$$

where  $y \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $x = (x_1, x_2)^{\mathrm{T}} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Let us set the prior  $x = z \cdot \mathbf{1}_{[-4,4]^2}(z)$ , where  $z \sim \mathcal{N}((0,0)^{\mathrm{T}}, I)$ ,

$$\mathbf{1}_B(z) = \begin{cases} 1, & z \in B, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and  $\eta \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \delta^2 I)$  with  $\delta = 0.1$ . Suppose we are given the observation  $y = (7, -2)^{\mathrm{T}}$ . Implement MCMC with Metropolis–Hastings kernel

$$x_{k+1} \sim \sqrt{1-\beta^2} \cdot x_k + \beta \xi, \quad \xi \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I),$$

for different values of  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  to sample the posterior density. For each value of  $\beta$  produce 10 000 samples and plot them. What do you notice? Also compute for each  $\beta$  the *acceptance ratio*, i.e., the ratio between accepted jumps and the total length of the chain. Use the origin as initial value.

Using the best choice of  $\beta$ , compute the expectation of the posterior, i.e., the conditional mean estimate

$$x_{\rm CM} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} x \pi^y(x) \,\mathrm{d}x.$$

3. Let  $\rho_1 : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  and  $\rho_2 : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  be probability densities. The Kullback– Leibler divergence is defined by

$$d_{\mathrm{KL}}(\rho_1 \| \rho_2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \log\left(\frac{\rho_1(x)}{\rho_2(x)}\right) \rho_1(x) \,\mathrm{d}x. \tag{1}$$

Solve the Kullback–Leibler divergence  $d_{\mathrm{KL}}(\rho_1 \| \rho_2)$  for  $\rho_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(m_1, \sigma_1^2)$  and  $\rho_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(m_2, \sigma_2^2)$ , where  $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 > 0$ .

4. Let  $\rho \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$  and assume that the posterior density is given by

$$\pi^{y}(x) = 0.6 \cdot \rho(x-3) + 0.4 \cdot \rho(x+2).$$

Using your favorite programming language, try to find the KL-optimal approximation for  $\pi^y$  in the class of  $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathcal{N}(m, 1) \mid m \in \mathbb{R}\}$ , that is, find m that solves

- (a)  $\inf_{m \in \mathbb{R}} d_{\mathrm{KL}}(\mathcal{N}(m, 1) \| \pi^y),$
- (b)  $\inf_{m \in \mathbb{R}} d_{\mathrm{KL}}(\pi^y \| \mathcal{N}(m, 1)),$

where  $d_{\text{KL}}$  is the Kullback–Leibler divergence defined in (1). In each case, plot the probability density for  $\mathcal{N}(m, 1)$  against the posterior density  $\pi^y$ . One of the approximations you obtained should be centered around the mode of  $\pi^y$ while the other one should be centered around the mean of  $\pi^y$ . Which one is which?

*Hint:* You are not required to do anything too sophisticated in this task. Any reasonable minimization procedure or quadrature rule is OK.