Wintersemester 2023/24
Please complete these problems before the exercise session on
Tuesday 6 February, 2024, 8:30. Please be prepared to present your solutions to any problems that you completed successfully.

1. Consider a bivariate Gaussian distribution

$$
\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\binom{0}{0},\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & p \\
p & 1
\end{array}\right)\right) .
$$

(a) Write a Gibbs sampler for this distribution. Based on the generated sample, what are the expected value of $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$ and the marginal standard deviations of $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ ?
(b) Repeat part (a) for parameter values $p=0.5,0.9,0.99$, and 0.999. How does the degree of correlation between $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ affect the performance of the Gibbs sampler?
2. Consider the mathematical model

$$
y=\binom{x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}}{x_{2}}+\eta
$$

where $y \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is the measurement and $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is the unknown. Let us set the prior $x=z \cdot \mathbf{1}_{[-4,4]^{2}}(z)$, where $z \sim \mathcal{N}\left((0,0)^{\mathrm{T}}, I\right)$,

$$
\mathbf{1}_{B}(z)= \begin{cases}1, & z \in B \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

and $\eta \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \delta^{2} I\right)$ with $\delta=0.1$. Suppose we are given the observation $y=$ $(7,-2)^{\mathrm{T}}$. Implement MCMC with the Metropolis-Hastings kernel

$$
x_{k+1} \sim \sqrt{1-\beta^{2}} \cdot x_{k}+\beta \xi, \quad \xi \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)
$$

for different values of $\beta \in(0,1)$ to sample the posterior density. For each value of $\beta$ produce 10000 samples and plot them. What do you notice? Also compute for each $\beta$ the acceptance ratio, i.e., the ratio between accepted jumps and the total length of the chain. Use the origin as initial value.
Using the best choice of $\beta$, compute the posterior mean, i.e., the conditional mean estimator

$$
\hat{x}_{\mathrm{CM}}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} x f(x \mid y) \mathrm{d} x
$$

The exercises continue on the next page!

3-4. (This task is worth 2 points.) The random walk Metropolis algorithm scales poorly with increasing dimension Meanwhile, the single component Gibbs sampler is computationally expensive for high-dimensional problems. A surprisingly effective alternative is the so-called Metropolis-within-Gibbs algorithm, which combines the powerful Gibbs sampler with the computationally inexpensive Metropolis algorithm. The algorithm to draw a sample from the $d$-dimensional probability density function $f$ can be described as follows:

1. Choose the initial value $x^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and set $k=0$.
2. Draw the next sample as follows:
(i) Set $x=x^{(k)}$ and $j=1$.
(ii) Draw $t \in \mathbb{R}$ from the one-dimensional distribution

$$
f\left(t \mid y_{1}, \ldots, y_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) \propto f\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{j-1}, t, x_{j+1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)
$$

by performing one step of the Metropolis algorithm and set $y_{j}=t$.
(iii) If $j=d$, set $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}\right)$ and terminate the inner loop. Otherwise, set $j \leftarrow j+1$ and return to step (ii).
3. Set $x^{(k+1)}=y$, increase $k \leftarrow k+1$ and return to step 2 .

Suppose that we are interested in estimating a signal $g:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ from noisy, blurred observations modeled by

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i}=y\left(s_{i}\right)=\int_{0}^{1} K\left(s_{i}, t\right) g(t) \mathrm{d} t+\varepsilon_{i}, \quad i \in\{1, \ldots, k\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s_{i}=\frac{i}{k}-\frac{1}{2 k}$ for $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$, the blurring kernel is

$$
K(s, t)=\exp \left(-\frac{1}{2 \cdot 0.05^{2}}(s-t)^{2}\right)
$$

and we have i.i.d. Gaussian measurement noise $\varepsilon_{i} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)$ with $\sigma=10^{-3}$. As we discussed during last week's lecture, the integral equation (1) can be discretized using the midpoint rule with points $t_{j}=\frac{j}{d}-\frac{1}{2 d}, j \in\{1, \ldots, d\}$, to obtain the linear measurement model

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=A x+\varepsilon, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y=\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}\right]^{\mathrm{T}} \in \mathbb{R}^{k}$ is the measurement, $A=\left(\frac{1}{d} K\left(s_{i}, t_{j}\right)\right)_{\substack{i=1, \ldots, k, d \\ j=1, \ldots, d}} \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{k \times d}$ is the system matrix, and $x=\left[g\left(t_{1}\right), \ldots, g\left(t_{d}\right)\right]^{\mathrm{T}} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is the unknown. Download the file signal.mat from the course website. The file contains the objects y , A , and t corresponding to the noisy, blurred signal $y$, the system $\operatorname{matrix} A$, and the vector $t$, respectively. The file can be imported in Python with the command

## The exercises continue on the next page!

[^0]data $=$ scipy.io.loadmat('signal.mat')
and you can access the objects by calling data['y'], data['A'], and data['t']. Note that $k=d=100$.

Suppose that we know a priori that the true signal $x$ corresponds to a piecewise constant function $g:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. A reasonable choice for the prior would then be the so-called anisotropic total variation prior

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x) \propto \exp \left(-\lambda \sum_{k=1}^{d}\left|x_{k+1}-x_{k}\right|\right), \quad \lambda>0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we assume periodic boundary conditions, i.e., $x_{d+1}=x_{1}$.
Your task is as follows:
Write down the posterior density $f(x \mid y)$ for the unknown parameter $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ in (2) using the prior (3) with $\lambda=100$. Use the Metropolis-within-Gibbs algorithm with random walk Metropolis step size $\gamma=0.05$ to draw a sample of size $N=10^{4}$ from the posterior density, and approximate the CM estimator $\hat{x}_{\mathrm{CM}}$ of the unknown parameter $x$ by computing the sample average. Finally, visualize the approximate CM estimator you obtained by plotting it as a function of $t$.

Hint: Your reconstruction should look a bit like the boxcar function $\mathbf{1}_{[0.3,0.7]}$, which was the function used to generate the measurement data.


[^0]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ The preconditioned Crank-Nicolson ( pCN ) method considered in task 2 can be used to carry out dimension-robust sampling, but it requires careful tuning of the free parameter $\beta \in(0,1)$.

